

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.   |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 09/985,921   | 11/06/2001  | Takeshi Takizawa     | Q67064              | 4568               |
| 7590 02/13/2004<br>SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                    |
|  |             |                      | FOOTLAND            | FOOTLAND, LENARD A |
| 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.<br>Washington, DC 20037-3202  |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                    |
| <i>U</i> ,   |             |                      | 1682                |                    |

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| •  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Assista Comments  | 09/985,921  | TAKIZAWA ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lenard A. Footland  | 3682  |  |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | p ars on the cover shiet with this  | correspond nc address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                 | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed<br>ys will be considered timely.<br>n the mailing date of this communication.<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 D  | December 2003.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 18-27 and 45-79 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-27,45 and 46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) 52-54,58,60,62,64,69,73 and 77 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 47-51,55-57,59,61,66-68,70,72,74,76,78 and 79 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 63,65,71 and 75 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary  | y (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.8.</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail D  |   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Fig's. 27a-b remains. Claims 18-27 and 45-46 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected species, not all claims depending upon or otherwise including the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Applicant is reminded that if the amendment of any claims results in a change of the species they read upon, that is required to be indicated. In addition, if any new claims are added, it is required that the applicant indicate which of them read on the elected species. Failure to do so will result in a holding of nonresponsiveness.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 47-49, 56, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), as being anticipated by Guers. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See Fig. 1.

Art Unit: 3682

Claims 50, 55, 57, 59, 61, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), as being anticipated by Hofmann. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See Fig. 1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Hofmann as set forth in the rejection of claims 50, 55, 57, 59, 61, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 79 above, and further in view of official notice of common knowledge in the art.

The examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a cover since it was known in the art to do so to minimize contamination.

Claim 66 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Guers as set forth in the rejection of claims 47-49, 56, 67 above, and further in view of official notice of common knowledge in the art.

Art Unit: 3682

The examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide vibration sensing via elasticity adjustment since it was known in the art to do so to measure vibration in a bearing environment.

Claims 63, 65, 71, 75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 52-54, 58, 60, 62, 64, 69, 73, 77 are allowed.

This application contains claims drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse. A complete response to the final rejection must include cancellation of non-elected claims or other appropriate action (37 C.F.R. § 1.144) M.P.E.P. § 821.01. Failing this, an application otherwise ready for allowance will be taken to have authorization to have such claims canceled by examiner's amendment.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues at page 14 re claim 49 that Guers does not show "a circuit part ... attached to ... a ring[] along a 'circumferential direction', and further that probe 8 is "not [a

Art Unit: 3682

detecting part] connected to a circuit part that is also attached to the rolling bearing 10".

As to the first point, the wire (no reference number) connecting elements 6' and 19 is a circuit part that is in fact attached to a ring via element 6, "along a circumferential direction", which appears to be a meaningless term if not compared to some other point.

As to the second point, winding circuit 11 (col. 3, lines3-4) is a detecting part that is connected to the aforesaid circuit part both of which are attached to the rolling bearing via element 6'.

In response to Applicant's arguments, 37 CFR § 1.111(c) requires applicant to "clearly point out the patentable novelty which he thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections." In this case, applicant has failed to clearly point out patentable novelty and failed to show how the amendment avoids the combination of references applied against the claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO
THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM
THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE
IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS

Art Unit: 3682

FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Lenard A. Footland

Tweed A Fortames

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf February 12, 2004